Area of Question or Comment	Please select an area in this category	Please share your Question or Comment	Please Leave Comment or Question	Please select an area in this category	Please share your Question or Comment	Please Share Your Question or Comment	Please Share your Question or Comment	Your Institution/ Organization	Please Share your Question or Comment			
							Please Share your Question or Comment The GRIG1 is to be commended for managing effective pace in the discussions and The GRIG1 is to be commended for managing effective pace in the discussions and articulate identified, and it is largely that the dealt will be in the detail of how these neach fusion. The ownell package naked a couple of queries in regard of the initial aims that infection them.					
							reach fruition. The overall package raised a couple of queries in regard of the initial aims that I reflect here:					
							- Who will have ownership/oversight of the different roadmage? This is relatively					
							ownership and oversight within the groups proposed. It is implicit (the Components Council), but could benefit from being explicit in this context.					
							 There is a valid focus on technical roadmaps. Was the position of non-technical roadmaps also considered? It may be useful to reflect this, covering e.g., constrainting development marketing advances; at a secumental to 					
							sites our test that have "One in the source and test that have considered and the source and the source and the source considered and the source and the source and the source considered and the source and the source and the source considered and the source and the source and the source considered and the source and the source and the source considered and the source and the source and the source source and the source and the source and the source and the source source and the source and the source and the source source and the source and the source and the source source and the source and the source and the source source and the source and the source and the source test and the source tes					
							 ampraising the capturing the formal contributions as defined, or would it be more a extent would this focus on formal contributions as defined, or would it be more a formal way of acknowledging both formal and informal contributions? What would 					
							be the actions if a Partner was not felt to be contributing accordingly? - The relationships between the different groups makes sense overall. Where there is need for enough second to the Detachment how in it enuisased that this					
							take place? Whilst there is good attention to the structures of Steering and Components Council, it is less clear how the Partners themselves would be	Iniversity of Hull				
Overview		It will be of value to make it clearer how Partners can make a contribution through the sub-					organised to play the role intended.	Iniversity of Hull				
Governance Recommendations	Partners Will provide Contributions to the Community	It will be of value to make it clearer how Partners can make a contribution through the sub- group proposed. To what extent does this lead to actions if a Partner is viewed as not being in good standing? How would this be taken forward? Questions to consider as we move forward rather than challenges and setting up a WG on this will be height.						Joivensity of Hull				
		toward center than channeges and seeming up a vivu. on this use to exeptu. This quastion addresses both prospective hintor, but majed the community manager mostly (given the breadth of activity outlined). To what adderd is it viewed that either or both of these roles are full-fired? Once that require further attention in scoping them out (which would affect the funding call to be made?)?										
Recommendations	Hire a Community Manager	roles are tul-orner or closs that require numer assention in acoping them out (which would affect the funding call to be made)? Minut was a "Lease from reached as a nector of office? While this can be received and in a						Iniversity of Hull				
		affect the funding case to be madely? Howevers a 2-year term reached as a period of office? White this can be renewed and is a reasonable length of size, it would like to propose making the length of term 4 years, to be extended by 2 years if renewed, to add greater stability in the short-mid-term without compromising the benefit of stanceer.										
		compromising the benefit or surrover. Why was it felt that each Partner institution could have only one Steering member? Whilst I										
Governance Recommendations	Elect Partner Representatives to Samvera Steering	appreciate that there is a benefit in having a spread of Partner representatives this feels a little artificial in possibly preventing good people from being part of how Samvera runs.						Iniversity of Hull				
Governance Recommendations	Establish a Components Council	What was the basis for having only the Product Owners on this Council? Whilst there is an obvious focus thee, it would be beneficial to have a small number (2-37) of non-Product Owners as reutral participants to complement the specific Product Owner Interests.						Iniversity of Hull				
_	Dalinesta Doles and Dasnovsibilities	Why was file that each Perfect restliction could have only one Steering member? While! It is a strength of the perfect of the										
Recommendations	Delineate Roles and Responsibilities	meeting) that is formally recorded, but are other ideas being considered?			It will be important to have a clear statement of			Iniversity of Hull				
					It will be important to have a clear statement of quoracy in determining what a valid vote would be. This would reasonably 20 of whatever body is finally agreed upon to be the electrosts given the rather of the change being undertaken.							
					nature of the change being undertaken. What would be the way forward if a vote did not							
					agree to the recommendations? Does this suggest that one of the options in the vote needs to be	1						
					agree to the secommenceons? Youse this suggest that one of the options in the vote needs to be 'Refine recommendations and re-vote' and another of Marinain status quo' no that we get a clear message of which direction to go in rather than an unclear outdoome if the recommendations are not accepted.							
					unclear outcome if the recommendations are not accepted.							
					There is mention in this section of candidates volunteering for a 1, 2 or 3 year term, whilst the							
Prioritized					There is mention in this section of candidates volunteeing for a 1, 2 or 3 year term, while the original recommendation take only of a 3-year term. Is this to introduce the cycling of membership? Gings forward it would be good to get candidates to stand for the longer term to provide greater continuity.							
Prioritized Implementation of Recommendations				Hold Elections for Steering	get candidates to stand for the longer term to provide greater continuity.			iniversity of Hull				
						I would support the dissent expressed. The community has shown a desire to coordinate technical efforts and this will no doubt by any						
						I would support the dissent expressed. The community has shown a dealer to condinate technical efforts and this will no doubt be enhanced by a coordinator. But there is a more urgent need to better coordinate community activity, which will						
						nep to serve both the technical development and non-technical development through better communication and coordination generally. As						
Governance Working Group Member Dissent						stated elsewhere, to what extent either post needs to be full-first in the first instance could usefully be		Iniversity of Hull				
Group Member Dissert			Overall I think the recommendations have potential but some things are still unclear for me.			exposed turner.		assessing of Hall				
			First, I'm unsure as to what the technical coordinator will do that									
			First, I'm unsure as to what the technical coordinator will do that justifies the expense. If the component council is functioning properly then I think that it may well be able to cover most of the duties assigned to the technical coordinator.									
			Second, I'm left wondering how partners have voice and									
			Second, l'Imielf wondering how pathrare have voice and accountability in defining soulmaps. AFACT each component bears he responsibility of sourching a FO defining mandarus, and day to day the responsibility of sourching a FO defining mandarus, and day to day countries, and generation. The source defining POSs, season proportion but there what power does the stoch coordinator have? Following the originator, and proposed the stoch coordinator have? Following the originator, and the stoch coordinator have? Following the originator of the stock of the stock of mandarus in sews or not will effort or not will effort or the stock of the stock of the stock of the stock of the stock of the stock of the sto									
			questions, and promotion). This provides independence which is important but then what power does the tech coordinator have?									
			roadraps is weak or not well defined.									
			Third, labelling solution bundles as components makes for wide variations in code size, readmap, number of community spories, violatility, and coordination needs. This could be overcome, but it will be very hard for the component coursol and such coordinator to shadness these and assolid policis or unequal attention to broing those uninterested in Hyexx and Hyexx based apps. Maybe a different configuration in accessiny?									
			be very hard for the component council and tech coordinator to balance these and avoid politics of unequal attention or boring those									
			unimensated in Hytex and Hytex-cased apps. Maybe a otherent configuration is necessary?									
			Overall, I think it would be good to try implementing the prioritized recommendations through 7 (component council). I think that will be									
			the structure and need tole for the paid positions. More work could be done in this time on process for these bodies (elsering, partners,									
			component council, and components) which would help attemption to community and feedback into understanding the next steps of necessarys change. I think it to heart in tru in implement the next									
			Owaral, I think it would be good to try implementing the prioritized incommendations through 7 (component council). I think that will be plany of change in bread for a wife and will allow us from the same plany of change in bread for a wife and will allow us from the same plany of change in the component council allow us from the same done in this time on process for these loodes (trisering, partners, component council, and components) which would help immorphism the commonly and feedback this understanding for the red streets or the component of the contracting further analysis of the dynamics in various needs of components with other lands of the dynamics in various needs of components and having shared understanding and acceptance of the combination model.	1								
			Pility to provide an analysis based upon Ostrom's design principles of									
			I'll try to provide an analysis based upon Ostrom's design principles o commons but don't have the time to do that right now.									
			PWW, extrapolating the orgichant I see: Steering accountable to Partners via elections Tech Coordinator/Community Manager accountable to Steering via									
			job/salary									
			Partner contributions monitored by tech coordinator? POs accountable to component community/partners via									
			Parkers accountable to Community through contributions/good standing. Parker contributions monitored by tech coordinator? Park accountable to coreposent community partners via Components Council accountable to Community via POs elections/appointments? Steering is judicial body that appeals can be made to and has final decision?									
Other			Steering is judicial body that appeals can be made to and has final decision?					ndiana University				
					The rules for recommendation 2 are to evalphed to broads seeing southing steering beam members ("There.()) existing resembers of Steering will serve in Steering will serve in Steering will serve in Steering of the purposes of on-broading, increasing servers in the supposed of on-broading, increasing serving in their clear securious to expand odd on the server on the recommitted Steering are slightly for election during the first decision of serving on the second server on the recommitted Steering are slightly for election during the first decision (price. Should they be elected, they are eligible to no for an except less more for first them is completed.").							
					on Steering for the purposes of on-boarding, knowledge transfer, and succession planning.") and	d						
					towards pulling in their close associates to expand the steering team? Existing Steering members who do not serve on the reconstituted Steering ****							
					eligible for election during the first election cycle. Should they be elected, they are eligible to run for a second term once the first term.							
					While continuity and history are important, new							
Prioritized Implementation of Recommendations				Identify Existing Steering Members to Serve on Steering	While continuity and history are important, new perspectives and new ideas are critical, so a balance should be should that brings in new players and new thinking.			Joivensity of Michigan				
Prioritized Implementation of Recommendations Prioritized Implementation of Recommendations					This should be moved up to position 4 or 5 ==							
				Hire a Technical Coordinator	This should be moved up to position 4 or 5 as something that needs to be very quickly addressed. The priority of this term need to be 5 on the lat, not 9. Staffing needs are too critical to be something left for "later". Find the money and him for items 8 and 9 as soon as possible.			inversity of Michigan				
Prioritized Implementation of Recommendations				Hire a Community Manager	Staffing needs are too critical to be something left for "later". Find the money and hire for items 8 and 9 as soon as cossible.							
		I agree that the Technical Coordinator should be first priority, given that one of our biggest challenge has been adequate coordination, resourcing, and release transpersent of key and proper to the properties of the properties										
		components. Given that we will need to raise multi-year commitments of as much as \$200K per position from Partners, it think it's likely we will need to start with one staff position (or										
Recommendations	Hire a Technical Coordinator	than bec. I think that the membership of the Components Council and what constitutes > ^~~						ndiana University				
		than two. If this that he membership of the Components Council and what constitutes a core supported component may need to be assumed a fail more. For example, whation is not supported component may need to be examined a fail more. For example, whation is not assumed with because it is currently exidence of example, which has many intendependencies with and is contributing to development of other components, and it would make assess for it be expressed and the Components Council make assess for it to be expressed and the Components Council.										
		new meaning occurs it is currently under overlopment). However, Austin has many interdependencies with and is contributing to development of other components, and it would make sense for it to be represented on the Components Council.										
		The role of the Components Council in arranging for development and maintenance sprints is update. I secure that the Components Council will not be constitute.										
		The role of the Components Council is arranging for development and maintenance sprints is unasternance and the Components Council will not be coordinating all development and maintenance and while for all components, and that electrical all installations at all commons and an experiment of the control of										
Governance Recommendations	Establish a Components Council	the case, it would be good to make that more clear. If it's not the case, then I think that's a problem.	Quartel I think this is the start of a solid answersony for worth and					ndiana University				
			Overall, I think this is the start of a solid governance framework, and i commend the group for its work. I am generally supportive of all of the decisions solitered, including making flameing an elected body, placing contribution requirements/expectations on Partners, hirting contribution requirements/expectations on Partners, hirting contribution requirements/expectations on Partners, thirting contribution requirements/expectations on partners, the contribution of the formation of the formati									
			placing contribution requirements/expectations on Partners, hiring centralized staff, and establishing a more formal process for technical conditions across smarts. Many									
			my menution to vote to adopt the model in its current state. This is particularly an issue in regard to the Partner Contribution Model- it's difficult to vote for a major change such as this without understanding									
			my institution to void to adopt the model in the current table. This is it official to sell the major change such as the without understanding what it not cost us. I would expand that the process be revised official to sell the major change such the process be revised from the contract of the cost of									
			or not to continue to move forward with developing this model rather than a vote on adopting the model. Then, assuming Partners vote to move forward, a group could be put together to further developing the									
			aspects of the framework, including the Partner Contribution Model and initial charters for the various groups. After that, a formal vote									
Jak		The issue of how solution bundle efforts, such as Hyrax or Assion, are governed is left unaddressed in the recommendations and proposed model, beyond below recommends on	we alken to adopt the mamework.					numera utivettely				
		the Components Council. This may be OK, but it does mean that such projects will likely need to develop their own-governance structures and processes rather than be able to "plug										
Governance Recommendation*	relationship of Solution Bundle project to the governance framework	The issue of how existion basels effects, such as liyes or keebur, we governed is left readdowssel in the economisations and appropried model, legacol being represented on the Components Council. This may be OK, but if does mean that such projects will lawly make it is devolved being one provinces of the council and processes and refer that to all also in four properties and the council and the common properties of the common properties of projects less transparent to partners and other commonly members. I think this might ment some discussion, propagat that Macro Tarkets Meleting.						ndiana University				

Area of Question or Please select an area in this category	Please share your Question or Comment I don't believe "Ynduct Owers" as study defined in the document. While it might be a studied to be a study of the please of the study of the study as a study of the study as a study of the study pacing searing they know what it means and not having the same idea. (Purhapa in the Constant's flat or increasely)	Comment or Question Please select an area in this category	Please share your Question or Comment	Please Share Your Question or Comment	Please Share your Question or Comment	Your Institution/ Organization	Please Share your Question or Comment			
	premature to include a list of specific responsibilities, I would suggest that a couple sentences on what the term "product owner" means would be helpful in avoiding different									
	people assuming they know what it means and not having the same idea. (Hernaps in the "Glossary"? But not necessarily)									
	The Insultence of Virgolic bounder? I found on wikipedia was in the article on Scrum, where it suggests: The product owner should focus on the business side of product development and spend the majority of their time liaking with stakeholders and should not dictate how the team reaches a scholated action."									
	team reaches a technical edution." No understanding of the "product owner" role is that it is a non-technical stakeholder									
	representative, and the product owner determines what features should be developed and how (including prioritization and sequiremental acceptance orderia), but is not involved at all in determinion the technical involvementation—not involved in determinion what mode to write or representations.									
	team reactions a socional solution. Wy undestending of the 'product owner' role is that it is a non-incircical stakeholder in presentative, and the product owner determines with stakene should be developed and impresentative, and the product owner determines the stakenes should be developed and determining the stakenes and what must be product product be. The starting also called the write or the architecture, and what the stakenes are stakeness and the stakeness and the stakeness are stakeness and the stakeness and the stakeness are stakeness and the stakeness and the stakeness are stakeness.									
	cent to meet the react appreciation by the product owner than of the rain. I folially agree from not faitable, was the last the same conferendancy the report has of the rain. I folially agree with the goal mentioned in the report. "Clearly articulated instructionships between groups that make decisions and oppose that get their good one" — I jame, if we "product owner" is of the "make decision" group, with developent being in the "get things done" group.									
	"make decision group, with developers being in the "get things done" group. The relationship between them isn't entirely clear to me from the record.									
	The relationship between them lan't entirely clear to me from the report. If it's true that the 'product owner', as in my undenstanding of the usual meaning of the role.									
	is _not_ enforcing technical decisions ("how the team reaches a technical solution", code and architecture decisions) — it is not clear to me what note or entry _does_ have the responsibility and authority for this sites. As a devisioner, lack of clarity here has been one of									
	my biggest "governance" related challenges. I don't _think_ this recommendation addresses it, which may be quite fine, it's maybe just not in scope for what the governance									
	document to just acknowledge/clarify that though, lest some readers think it is making recommendations about how those technical decisions are made when it does not intend to									
Governance Associate Product Owners to Perconnected Community Community Community	was not to me. (Again, I don't_think, a "product owner" rise involves technical implementation decision-making, but if the recommendations mean it to, then the									
Recommendations Community Owned Components	The additional patients that are found in the ten for the traper. If you have the patient in the control of th					Science History Institute				
Governance Establish a Method for Creating	and end-user communities so it's not really addressed by the Technical Coordinator position or the Community Manager position, from what I am understanding, is metadata considered					Indiana Halamah				
Made and the state of the state	and going meas in the community (see the Property Group) ?			Similar to the concern raised here that technical aspects are being preferred over non-technical		ituai diversiy				
				aspects are being prelimend over non-technical aspects in the community. I am concerned that metidata issues are going to full through the crucks or lose a seat in the table in the governance model as it currently stands. If there are metidata issues, do they have to be aligned with a single community owned component in order for them to be addressed? Now is metidatal addressed who is metidatal and addressed? Now is metidatal and commendation for						
				as it currently stands. If there are metadata issues, do they have to be aligned with a single community owned commonant in refer for them to be						
				addressed? How is metadata documentation (or any other help documentation) organized and						
				accreased risks in measures occumentation for any other help documentation; organized and maintained when the technical supects are managed as components? Even though there's a possibility to break things down technically, there is still an overall meladiata scope that has to receive attention so the components can fit together.						
				attention so the components can fit together. Maybe that fits within the Technical Coordinator's						
Governance Working Group Member Dissent	It seams that the removes for salartion and association Docks? Owners will remark from the			role but it would be helpful to make that explicit if that is the case.		Indiana University				
	Components Maintenance Working Group. If so, I think that other working group's recommendations should be incorporated into this governance proposal when it is reported									
	in signed to code governance - which was much of the little impets for seading us down this road. Great work so far, but if id like to see recommendations on how Product Owners									
	are menums (menum, m.) - I'm not opposed as I don't show how easi we would do it, but we should be transpared about thisi, a secumemedial (negatible?) term, whether for some products (Hyrax), employers should be pregared to give up release time to a Product Owner,									
	and whemer for some products (Hytsix), a Release Manager - and/or a Standing Committee — is needed as well as a product owner, and then the same questions apply to the Release Manager and the Standing Committee. Also, these comments bleed this the Components									
Governance Associate Product Owners to Recommendations Community Owned Components	Council - should it include Release Managers and/or reps of Standing Committees? It's possible we want acros of these issues to evolve over time but then we should be explicit about needing this resolution over the next 18 months or so. Thank you.					University of Cincinnati				
Governance Establish a Method for Creating Recommendations Standing Working Groups						University of Cincinnati				
recommendations Standing Working Groups	part or mese-governance recommendations.					unversey or Cincinnati				
					I was unable to join the webhar last week because of the firing but I've spent the first part of this morning makening the recording. Can I exich some of the thought appreciated that was not the season and that everyone involved for a florarity and thoughts job, I'vel in corone as a surprise by you when I admit to serve and the properties of the contraction of the season and the properties of the recommendation as the form in the tops of classifying some of the processes that wall fixed to the form in the tops of classifying some of the processes that will fixed to the contraction on the properties of the recommendation in the first threat of the contraction of the properties of the recommendation of the recommendati					
					and thoughtful job. It will not come as a suspine to you when I admit to sweep neservations about this whole process at the beginning. These are explicit denalized. I shall a whole some other responses to the account.					
2					form in the hope of clarifying some of the processes that will need to be undertaken in moving this forward and suggesting at least one small tweak.	Considerate December 2011				
Overview					Timeline: I am not sure what exactly is meant by "Pariners to hold a vote" and	Consultant to University of Hull Library				
					recommend to the Steering Group to adopt moving forward, or actual adoption? Hydra (now Samvers) is backed by a legal document (the Memorandum of					
					Choestanding - Modify that - on the surface as well - obes not alow for Partners making a decision like this. I suspect the legal way to do! it is to recommend the proposals to existing Steering and have us move the Community towards them as quickly as possible. This would also require a vote for adoption on Steering. If the idea is to vote on adoption per as, then I think you would want to have Steering's.					
					quickly as possible. This would also require a vote for adoption on Sisering. If the idea is to vote on adoption per as, then I think you would want to have Siserings explicit agreement in advance to this process and a guarantee that they will stand					
					idea is to vote on adoption per as, then I think you would want to have Sovering's explict agreement in advance to this process and a guaranties that they will attand by the result. In this latter case you'd want to have an agreed voting mechanism and a very clear criterion for deciding the result. (As I write this, emails are flying to try and the talk down.) Either very. old Severino (likely with the GIMS or infiniar!					
					and a very clase collection for deciding the result. (As I what this, emails are figing to by your class from the CMFC or similar) vocable then have be engage with lawyers to come up with an eart Mool that entropides the recommendation of the ACMFC and provided to straightforward changes as through evolve over the years (and a place) through one of the place of the p					
					straightforward)* I guess with goodwill the initial legal changes can be done alongside other things progressing but I think, if that's the idea, that some					
					discussions with the old SG ideally need to take place ahead of time. I'm concerned about the idea of a vote 'on April 2nd' unless this is the start of a					
					I'm concerned about the idea of a vote "on April 2nd" unless this is the start of a voting visitow. For instruct, if a holdary in the UK and quite a lot of people have will go assay for some first with holders who are on school holdary. Fat could not be considered to the property of the property of the property of the property of the not be some use crimical suppositions at the Partier meeting the Thursday/Friday prior? I in which case, a visicose beginning paid this right be best, allowing first to copposite some bessix, if any, and getting clear of Easter-holday-related firsting lisease.					
					not be some last-minute suggestions at the Partner meeting the Thursday/Friday prior? In which case, a window beginning April 9th might be better, allowing time					
					Siming issues.					
					Partnership and new stock. The make-up and operation of scienting, as well as its relationship to the Partners and therefore the Community is largely defined by the MoU. A new MoU will be significantly different - perhaps significantly enough that					
					existing Partners will need to ratify with their institution's management (and maybe counsel) that they are happy with it. It may even require asking them to sign a new Letter of American and Partners but at the					
					princip limits of mest facil. The make-up and operation of Steering, as well as its realizable to be a Preturn and Prevalent to the Community is largely defined by the steering prevalent and prevalent to the community is largely defined by the steering Definers and read to stelly with the Community is reasonable price or explica- ce, and the third prevalent below to the community is reasonable to the community of the community of the community is reasonable to the community in the community in the community is reasonable to the community in the community in the community is reasonable to the community in the community in the community is reasonable to the community in the community in the community is reasonable to the community in the community in the community is reasonable to the community in the community in the community is reasonable to the community in the community in the community is reasonable to the community in the community in the community is reasonable to the community in the community in the community is reasonable to the community in the community in the community is reasonable to the community in the community in the community is reasonable to the community in the community in the community is reasonable to the community in the community in the community is reasonable to the community in the community					
Overview					short process and we need to be careful to fulfil legal obligations properly.	Consultant to University of Hull Library				
	These, Delt College and the discussion of the College and the problem of the college and the c									
	Samuera person hired on the Community's behalf by a Partner would cost some \$1654 per year, or hired by DussSpace on our behalf alome \$210b per year. This on top of any other Samuera initiative fundion that might be needed. At the very least, we might need a									
	guaranteed \$200k ps to support a single hire plus other bits and please. Split between 30 Partners that's \$6.7k each - and, I'd suggest, that won't happen because some Partners are									
	Coviously that increases the cash burden on the next. \$400k per annum for two hies (no matter how desirable they might be) is a big, big ask. Further, I think if you are going to get									
	good people to apply you need to be able to guarantee their point for more train-one year— thus, you'd need to have guarantees from your contributing funders. I realize that there might be other ways (grants) to bring in some of this funding, but there's going to need to be									
	a lot of creative thinking! I'd also comment that the idea of seconding someone from an existing post was raised at the November Partner meeting - still an idea to consider, I think, in order to mitigate some of the initial risks on both sides.									
	Steering, trying to get ahead of the game, had some discussions with DuraSpace in January that touched on the idea of sharing an employee (cotentially employees) with them so that									
	in outer to entirgue some of the initial raise on both obset. Sealinet, by they of a detail of the game of a derive discussions with Dundiques in January that touched on the obset of the game of a derive discussions with Dundiques when to that expedites on the soles of intering an employee judentially employeed; with them so that expedites on the shared in that disclored former personnel production and bundiques alexand. The production of the shared of the sh									
Governance Recommendations Hire a Technical Coordinator	discussion.					Consultant to the University of Hull Library				
	experience if d say that a yearly 25 meet is essential (noted in the life period). Horse to yearly 25 meet is essential (noted in the life year) and year year year year. I should be a clear expectation for anyone who stands for the new Steering.									
Governance Elect Partner Representatives to Recommendations Samvers Steering	Sociation of the property of t									
Recommendations Samvera Steering	parscuar seusion.		All I is a constitution from the constitution of the constitution			Consultant to University of Hull Library				
			new Group may not adequately preserve the knowledge base or, as Rossilyn put it in the waters. Those the second put it in the							
			Currently the knowledge base is spread across nine people and, by the proposed transition							
			om.hansm, you would immediately lose two-thirds of that. I would propose, rather, a mechanism that is often used in other businesses and organizations.							
			instituting major change, that three of the existing Steering stand down "immediately" opening three new places, that at the end of year one three more							
Prioritized			stand down and are replaced, and that at the end of year two the remaining three stand-down. This preserves a broader knowledge base of the what of							
Prioritized implementation of Recommendations		Identify Existing Steering Members to Serve on Steering	the transition and, actually, completes the changes to Steering a year earlier.			Consultant to University of Hull Library				
Prioritized			wu update the systems (including all new section for Standing Working Groups: in addition, i'd suggest that Steering itself may want to have formally							
Prioritized Implementation of Recommendations		Update the Bylaws for Steering	established subcommittees - a finance committee springs immediately to mind.			Consultant to University of Hull Library				
			How will you by to ensure knowledge transfer between the first person appointed to a position and their surressor?							
			ww their successory it seems to me this is something that might reasonably be addressed if when people are in place.							
			However, there is a more urgent matter: the existing WG has functioned as Samvera's							
			secretarist and the GWG seems to imply that this function would devolve to a Community Manager in due course. In the meantime, where is this function							
			going to sit? With the Chair/Chair-elect of the new Steering, or? The idea of establishing a "tormal"							
			November but does not appear explicitly here. However this is handled, the "secretarist function"							
			maybe ex-officio pending the Community Manager post if it's not actually with a member of Steering.							
Prioritized implementation of Recommendations		Hire a Technical Coordinator	Newer, there is a more upper insider; the auditory IVIC is a functional and Service is a constraint and the OVIC service is propy that the accordant and the OVIC service is propy that the accordant in the reservice, where it is this function going to all With the Charl Chaira-level of the reservice going to all With the Charl Chaira-level of the reservice accordant is well desired and the Partie and property of the Charles of the Partie and property of the Charles of the Partie and Parties and			Consultant to University of Hull Library				
			commented: #10 Assass Governance recommendations: 19 months from when? It seems to me there could be a variety of anxwers to that question and it would be useful to Couldy the timelies. From the result of the vola? From the start of the rew Steering Group? Or.							
Prioritized implementation of			a variety or answers to that question and it would be useful to clarify the timeline. From the result of the vote? From the start of the new Steering							
Recommendations	I didn't think it warranted to dissent comment but I do still feel that candidates selecting which length of term they are standing for introduces an odd deservic into the standing rowses P ⁻¹	Assess Governance Recommendations	Group? Or?			Consultant to University of Hull Library				
Governance Elect Partner Representatives to Recommendations Samvera Steering	I didn't think it warranted to classent comment but I do still feel that candidates selecting which length of term they are standing for introduces an odd dynamic into the election process that might not lead to the best candidate being elected, a list think it would be best to have everyone stand on an equal footing, and then select items after electing the top candidates.					Cornell University				
Overview Governance Recommend Elect Partner Representatives to San	tive There was confusion about individuals vs. institutional representation on the elected steering, which we shou	d consider clarifying. In particular Dan asked what happens if an elected steering member leaves their jo	at a partner institution and takes a job at a non-partner i	institution.	Is there a way to work in language encouraging a commitment to backwards compared	Cornell University ibility? This is a matter of all three of our guiding pr Science History Institute	nciples: planning, sustainability and inclusion.			
	-									