

- **Community-defined roadmap or plan:** collaborative, involves appropriate representation from partner organizations [makes decisions], technical roadmaps for shared components like Hydra Role Management and solution bundles like Avalon Media System.
- **Stable communication / coordination role** in the form of a person or group to manage change and coordinate work [gets things done]. This person is not a centralized authority (not a “benevolent dictator”), but rather an agent authorized by the community to coordinate efforts in alignment with community needs.
- **Formal contributions** from partner institutions [gets things done], and accountability from partners that they’re meeting their obligations to the community
- **Plan to address anxiety** around how changes in governance / leadership structures could impact the community
- **Clearly articulated relationships** between groups that make decisions and groups that get things done

Original doc with models:

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1MQtW-CBu5WsaGeSRg-5hjL0BsT8kAYc5SkxekdPJb58/edit#heading=h.utqw9ssi2d2d>

Review of Work done so far:

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1lfOeTUr37f99pzS0TSCnO26RX6Qb9zFCBPazFiWoX3c/edit#>

### ALL LOOK HERE:

Drawing from 02/08/2018 - View Only

<https://docs.google.com/drawings/d/1RDfyrE2XSZLsageiJITefyhRIDQQwmcMbgUABNDoXHI/edit?usp=sharing>

### 2018-02-06 Notes

- Coordinator role is about coordination, cannot define/decide roadmaps
- Doesn’t make sense to talk about one roadmap for the community, there will be multiple roadmaps including those for solution bundles (e.g. Avalon and Hyrax) and for components (e.g. Active Fedora, Valkyrie)
- One full-time person in coordination role is likely to be more successful than parts of multiple people. They will have focus on the issues, be a stable coordination point between governance group and product owners/committees
- Must be clear that role is not an admin assistant, key requirement to coordinate activities (without power to dictate)
- Governance group might have some notion of ex-officio representation on behalf of sub-communities working on particular solution bundles and components (similar to Apache model having PMC chairs). This would not scale to all components so there would need to be some selection process. This could also be a way to have a governance role for leaders not associated with paying partners

Drawing from 02/08/2018 - View Only

<https://docs.google.com/drawings/d/1RDfyrE2XSZLsageiJITefyhRIDQQwmcMbgUABNDoXHI/edit?usp=sharing>